



Applicant:

Martin BRENNER et al.

Title:

MIXING DEVICE

Appl. No.:

10/553,404

International

04/06/2004

Filing Date:

371(c) Date:

06/29/06

Examiner:

David L. Sorkin

Art Unit:

1797

Confirmation

3714

Number:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.56

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith on Form PTO/SB/08 is a listing of documents known to Applicants in order to comply with Applicants' duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR §1.56.

A copy of each non-U.S. patent document and each non-patent document is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR §1.97 and §1.98.

The submission of any document herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicants do not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a *prima facie* art reference against the claims of the present application.

TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE

The listed documents are being submitted in compliance with 37 CFR §1.97(b), before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits.

RELEVANCE OF EACH DOCUMENT

In a counterpart Chinese application, an Office Action dated March 17, 2009, was received by Applicants. The Chinese Patent Office made certain characterizations of the references. The disclosure of these characterizations should not be construed as an admission of or agreement to the opinions expressed in the Chinese Office Action. A copy of the Chinese Office Action is attached herewith.

Documents A1 and A7 belong to the same "patent family," whereby the English language document may assist the PTO in understanding the content of the non-English language document.

Documents A2 and A8 belong to the same "patent family," whereby the English language document may assist the PTO in understanding the content of the non-English language document.

Documents A3 and A10 belong to the same "patent family," whereby the English language document may assist the PTO in understanding the content of the non-English language document.

Documents A9 and A13 belong to the same "patent family," whereby the English language document may assist the PTO in understanding the content of the non-English language document.

Documents A11 and A12 belong to the same "patent family," whereby the English language document may assist the PTO in understanding the content of the non-English language document.

Unless otherwise indicated, no English translation is readily available (not considering machine-generated translations that may be freely available online, to both the Applicant and the PTO) for each of the non-English language documents. However, a commercially available English language abstract is provided herewith, where indicated on the attached Form PTO/SB/08. Inasmuch as Applicants have endeavored to provide at least one item that complies with the requirement for a "concise explanation of relevance" for each of the non-English language documents, each of these documents has been submitted in compliance with the PTO requirements and should be considered by the Examiner (37 CFR §1.97, §1.98 and MPEP §609).

Applicants respectfully request that each listed document be considered by the Examiner and be made of record in the present application and that an initialed copy of Form PTO/SB/08 be returned in accordance with MPEP §609.

Although Applicant believes that no fee is required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be due to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date May 7, 2009

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP Customer Number: 22428 Telephone: (202) 672-5540

Telephone: Facsimile:

(202) 672-5399

By インシー

Paul D. Strain Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 47,369